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Social Cognition

• How we select, interpret, remember, and use social information
• Two ways information is processed:

Automatic

Controlled
On Automatic Pilot: Low-Effort Thinking

- **Automatic Thinking:**
  - Thinking that is unconscious, unintentional, involuntary, and effortless
  - e.g., recognizing a common object (sunglasses) or situation (birthday party)
  - We rely on *schemas* for this information
Schemas

- Mental structures people use to organize their knowledge about the social world
- Influence the information we notice, think about, and remember
  - The way in which we process information
- Information that is relevant is processed quicker than information that is not relevant
Schemas

• Advantages:
  1. Efficient information processing
  2. Reduced ambiguity
  3. Preparedness
     • What will happen and what to do

• Disadvantages:
  1. Only notice information that fits
  2. Dismiss information that does not fit
     • Exception = sub-category
Schemas

- Kelley’s (1950) study describing a guest lecturer:
  - “People who know him consider him to be a rather cold person....”
  Or
  - “People who know him consider him to be a very warm person....”
Automatic Thinking with Schemas

- Look for schema-consistent information
- Gardner, MacIntyre & Lalonde (1995)
  - Participants rated stereotypical characteristics of various ethnic and gender groups quicker than non-stereotypical characteristics
- **Stereotypes**: Schemas about members of a social group
  - They are applied rapidly and automatically when we encounter others
Automatic Thinking with Schemas

- Various studies have shown effects of people’s racial stereotypes
  - Ex: Stereotypes about race and crime

(Correll et al., 2002)
Automatic Thinking with Schemas

• Various studies have shown effects of people’s racial stereotypes
  - Ex: Stereotypes about race and crime
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Cultural Determinants of Schemas

• The content of our schemas is influenced by our culture

• We pay most attention to and best remember information that is important in our culture
  - e.g., Bantu herdsmen have well-developed schemas about cattle
The Functions of Schemas

- Help us organize, and make sense of our world, and to fill in the gaps of our knowledge

- Helps us to have continuity and to relate new experiences to our past
Which Schemas are Applied?

- **Accessibility**: the extent to which schemas and concepts are at the forefront of people’s minds
  - Therefore likely to be used when making judgments about the social world
    - Chronically accessible - Past experiences
    - Temporally accessible - Recent experiences or related to a current goal

- **Priming**: the process by which *recent experiences* increase a schema or trait’s accessibility
Examples
Making our Schemas Come True

- **Self-fulfilling Prophecy:**
  - When peoples’ expectations about what another person is like influences how they behave towards that person, which in turn causes the person’s behaviour to become consistent with their original expectation.
Making our Schemas Come True

Self-fulfilling Prophecy Example

1. You have an expectancy or social theory about the target person.
2. You行为 toward the target in a way that's consistent with your theory or expectancy.
3. The target responds to your behavior in a similar manner.
4. You see the target's behavior as proof that your expectancy was right. You don't realize the role you played in causing the target's response.
Mental Strategies & Shortcuts

Factors to consider: Price, reliability, safety, & horsepower

Ratings: Best, Very good, Good, & Poor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Car A</th>
<th>Car B</th>
<th>Car C</th>
<th>Car D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Best</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horsepower</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most important factor to you: Price
Least important factor to you: Horsepower
## Mental Strategies & Shortcuts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Car A</th>
<th>Car B</th>
<th>Car C</th>
<th>Car D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Price</strong></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Best</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reliability</strong></td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety</strong></td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Horsepower</strong></td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two most important factors to you: Price & reliability
Least important factor to you: Horsepower
Mental Strategies & Shortcuts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Car A</th>
<th>Car B</th>
<th>Car C</th>
<th>Car D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Price</strong></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reliability</strong></td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety</strong></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Best</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Horsepower</strong></td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three most important factors to you: Price, reliability, & safety
Least important factor to you: Horsepower
Mental Strategies & Shortcuts

- People use mental shortcuts (judgmental heuristics) in order to make judgments and decisions quickly and efficiently
  - When there is no schema, or there are too many to choose from
  - Rule of thumb
Mental Strategies & Shortcuts

- **Availability heuristic**: a mental rule of thumb whereby people base a judgment on the ease with which they can bring something to mind.
- **Representativeness heuristic**: a mental shortcut whereby people classify something according to how similar it is to a typical case.
Availability Heuristic

• Based on availability of relevant information
• How easily do examples come to mind?
  – e.g., is John a generous person?
  – e.g., am I assertive?

Which is more of a danger to humans?
The patient was here last week exhibiting flu-like symptoms (fatigue, fever, headache, & weakness). The doctor on-call simply told her to rest & drink a lot of liquids... however, she still hasn't recovered.

Dr. House: “Do you have a dog?”
Patient: “Yes.”
Dr. House: “Do you walk your dog in a field?”
Patient: “Yes”

(Doctor House quickly checks her body for a rash and finds one)

Dr. House: “She has a red mark, which is likely the spot where a tick entered her skin. She has Lyme Disease, not the flu.

THE RESULTS ARE IN

HOUSE DOESN'T USE THE AVAILABILITY HEURISTIC
Representativeness Heuristic

Example: Tom is a 42-year-old who reads nonfiction books, listens to National Public Radio, and plays tennis in his spare time. Which is more likely?

a. Tom is an Ivy League professor
b. Tom is a truck driver
Representativeness Heuristic

• Classify someone/something according to how similar it is to a typical case
• Research shows that people do not sufficiently use base rate information, relying more on the representativeness heuristic

Librarian or Beautician?
The Pervasiveness of Automatic Thinking

- Automatic (unconscious) thinking is often used for making judgments and decisions.
- There is evidence that our unconscious minds may make better decisions on some tasks than our conscious minds.
  - e.g., Dijksterhuis (2004)
Controlled Social Cognition: High-Effort Thinking

- Not all thinking is automatic
  - Sometimes we pause and think deeply about ourselves and the social world

- Controlled thinking:
  - Thinking that is conscious, intentional, voluntary and effortful
  - Kicks in when the “huh?” factor occurs
Controlled Social Cognition: High-Effort Thinking

• Unlike automatic thinking, controlled thinking is **effortful**
  - Requires mental energy and motivation

• People can only think in a controlled conscious way about **one thing** at a time

• One purpose of controlled thinking is to provide **checks and balances** for automatic processing
  - Used when accuracy is important
Thinking about What Might have Been

- **Counterfactual thinking:**
  - Mentally changing some aspect of the past as a way of imagining what might have been
  - Usually conscious and effortful, but not always voluntary and intentional
  - People are more likely to engage in this when they can easily imagine having avoided a negative event
  - The easier to imagine a tragedy having been avoided, the more distressed people feel
Counterfactual Thinking

- Attempt to rewrite the past
- Two types:
  1. Upward counterfactual reasoning
  2. Downward counterfactual reasoning
Counterfactual Thinking

- **Upward** counterfactual reasoning
  - Imagine outcomes that are *better* than reality
  - e.g., negative events, near misses (silver vs. bronze)
- Utility: lessons for the future, motivational
Counterfactual Thinking

- **Downward** counterfactual reasoning
  - Imagining outcomes that are **worse** than reality
  - e.g., “I’m in a wheelchair but I could have been killed in the car accident”
  - Utility: sense of relief
Counterfactual Thinking

• People feel more sympathy when negative outcomes follow an unusual rather than usual event
  - e.g., accident on alternate route home
• The same holds true for near misses
  - e.g., runner missing gold by 1/1000th vs. 1/100th of a second
Counterfactual Thinking

- Which type is this?
Thinking about What Might have Been

- Counterfactual thinking can be useful, however, if it:
  - **Focuses** people’s attention on ways that they can cope better in the future, by
  - **Motivating** them to take steps to prevent similar outcomes from occurring in the future
Thought Suppression & Ironic Processing

- **Thought suppression:**
  - The attempt to avoid thinking about something a person would prefer to forget

- **Successful thought suppression depends on the interaction of two processes:**
  1. The monitoring process
  2. The operating process
Thought Suppression & Ironic Processing

• Monitoring process
  - The automatic process of searching for evidence that the unwanted thought is about to intrude on consciousness

• Operating process
  - The controlled, effortful, and conscious attempt to distract oneself by finding something else to think about
Thought Suppression & Ironic Processing

So what’s the irony?
Thought Suppression & Ironic Processing

- When we try the hardest to suppress thoughts, those thoughts are most likely to enter our minds.
- Thought suppression can have negative effects on our mental and physical health.
A Portrayal of Social Thinking

• The issue of whether people are good social thinkers has been the subject of considerable debate.

• Perhaps the best metaphor of the social thinker is that people are like “flawed scientists”:
  - Thinkers who are attempting to discover the nature of the social world in a logical but imperfect manner.
A Portrayal of Social Thinking

- People use a number of mental strategies when reasoning about themselves and others.
- We tend to use these strategies effectively, but our judgements are sometimes not as correct as we think they are, e.g., hair pieces and lying.
Improving Human Thinking

• If people’s social thinking is sometimes flawed, how can their mistakes be corrected?

• One answer is to make people avoid an overconfidence barrier
  – The barrier that results when people have too much confidence in the accuracy of their judgments
Improving Human Thinking

- Possible strategies:
  - Ask people to consider other *points of view* than their own
  - Teach people some basic *statistical and methodological principles* about how to reason correctly

- For best results, this should be accompanied by instruction on how to *apply* these principles to everyday contexts
Cultural Differences in Thinking

- People from Western cultures tend to have an **analytic thinking style**:
  - Focusing on the properties of objects/people without considering the surrounding context

- People from East Asian cultures tend to have a **holistic thinking style**:
  - Focusing on the whole picture
  - i.e., the person/object and the surrounding context
What is the Source of these Differences?

• Differences in the amount of information presented in the culture (e.g., in city scenes, on web pages) forces people to develop efficient information search strategies.
What is the Source of these Differences?

• Study by Miyamoto et al., (2006)
  - Japanese and American university students were primed with either Japanese or American city scenes
  - Those primed with Japanese city scenes (which were busier) were more likely to notice changes to the background between two images
  - These results showed that people in all cultures are capable of thinking holistically or analytically

• The environment we are in influences our thinking style
Chapter 4: SOCIAL PERCEPTION

social PSYCHOLOGY

on the next...